(19) World Intellectual Property Organization International Bureau



1819 (1618) (1618 (1618 (1618 (1618 (1618 (1618 (1618 (1618 (1618 (1618 (1618 (1618 (1618 (1618 (1618 (1618 (16

(43) International Publication Date 10 October 2002 (10.10.2002)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number WO 02/078568 A1

(51) International Patent Classification?:

A61F 2/00

(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB02/01234

(22) International Filing Date: 2 April 2002 (02.04.2002)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data: 0108088.6

30 March 2001 (30.03.2001) GB

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): GYNE IDEAS LIMITED [GB/GB]; 1 Bell Leys, Wingrave, Buckinghamshire HP22 4QD (GB).

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): BROWNING, James [GB/GB]; 12 Sherbrooke Gardens, Glasgow G41 4HU (GB).

(74) Agent: MURGITROYD & COMPANY; Scotland House, 165-169 Scotland Street, Glasgow G5 8PL (GB).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

/078568 A

(54) Title: SURGICAL IMPLANT

(57) Abstract: A surgical implant suitable for treatment of hemias is provided. The implant comprises a mesh having a residual maximum mass density of 50g/m². The mesh comprises strands forming spaces and the strands comprise filaments forming pores. The spaces and pores are sized to minimise foreign body mass for implantation and to encourage integration of the implant. The mesh may be delivered using Dual Phase Technology™ for ease of handling, cutting and placement. The Dual Phase Technology™ may include encapsulation or coating with hydrogel.

"Surgical Implant" 1 2 3 The present invention relates to the treatment of a hermia such as a uterovaginal prolapse and, in 4 5 particular, to a surgical implant for use in such 6 treatment and to a related surgical procedure and 7 device. . 8 9 A hernia is basically a defect resulting in the protrusion of part of an organ through the wall of a 10 bodily cavity within which it is normally contained. 11 12 For example, a fairly common and well known type of hernia is a defect in the lower abdominal wall 13 resulting in a sac which may contain a portion of 14 the intestine protruding through the abdominal wall. 15 This is referred to as an inguinal hernia. 16 Similarly, a defect in the abdominal wall after 17 surgery is referred to as an incisional hernia. 18 Another type of hernia is a defect in the pelvic 19 floor or other supporting structures resulting in a 20 portion of the uterus, bladder, bowel or other 21 surrounding tissue protruding through, e.g., the 22

2

1 vaginal wall. This is usually referred to as 2 uterovaginal prolapse. 3 A common way of treating hernias is to repair the 4 5 defect by sutures, whether or not the hernial sac is 6 also sutured or repaired, in order that the protruding organ is contained in its normal 7 8 position. As the defect generally comprises a 9 weakening and attenuation leading to parting of tissues in a fascial wall, it is usually necessary 10 11 to apply tension to the sutures in order to close the parted tissues. Thus, the fascial wall is 12 13 generally pinched or tensioned around the area of 14 the defect in order to close the parted tissues. 15 16 This treatment is generally effective, but does have 17 some inherent problems. In particular, the pinching or tensioning of tissue around the defect can lead 18 19 to discomfort and/or recurrence of the hernia. 20 Additionally, in the case of uterovaginal prolapse, 21 such pinching or tensioning of the vaginal wall 22 almost inevitably results in anatomical distortion 23 (such as narrowing of the vaginal cavity) with 24 consequential pain and quality of life implications 25 for the patient and relatively high recurrence 26 and/or complication rates. 27 28 In order to address these problems, in the case of 29 inguinal hernia repair, it has been suggested to 30 make use of a surgical implant to overlay or close 31 the weakened and parted tissues without the need to 32 pinch or tension the surrounding tissue of the

3

Such surgical implants generally comprise 1 2 meshes and are now widely used in inquinal hernia repair. Meshes may be applied subcutaneously (i.e. 3 under the skin), internally or externally of the 4 5 abdominal wall and may be either absorbable or non-6 absorbable depending on the nature and severity of 7 the particular defect being treated. Meshes may be applied in combination with sutures to hold the mesh 8 9 in place or, alternatively, with sutures that close 10 the parted tissues as in a "non-mesh" technique. 11 Meshes are usually applied in open surgical 12 procedures, although they may sometimes be applied 13 in laparoscopic surgical procedures. 14 A typical mesh for an inguinal hernia repair 15 comprises woven or knitted polypropylene such as 16 . Marlex® or Prolene®. Such meshes have a number of 17 18 desirable properties that make them effective for 19 use in hernia repair. For example, they are made of 20 materials that are suitably inert so as to be less likely to cause adverse reactions when implanted in 21 22 the body. Furthermore, they are mechanically 23 strong, cheap, easily sterilisable and easy to work 24 with. 25 26 However, conventional meshes have a number of 27 inherent problems. For example, fistula or sinus (i.e. abnormal passages between internal organs or 28 between an internal organ and the body surface) can 29 develop as a result of a mesh being implanted and 30 31 left inside the body. More generally, the placement of a foreign body subcutaneously can also lead to 32

4

inflammation or infection. Similarly, edge extrusion 1 2 (i.e. the erosion of body tissue around the edge of the mesh) can occur. Nevertheless, overall, the use 3 4 of meshes is generally considered to be beneficial in the treatment of incisional and inquinal hernias. 5 6 7 It has also been suggested to use meshes in the 8 treatment of uterovaginal prolapse. Meshes that 9 have been proposed for use in the repair of uterovaginal prolapse are similar to those that are 10 used for the repair of inguinal hernia and such 11 12 like. However, there is concern that the above mentioned problems with the use of meshes are 13 greater when a mesh is placed in the vaginal wall as 14 15 this tissue is generally thin only just below the 16 surface and therefore more prone to adverse reactions. Furthermore, the placement of a foreign 17 body close to the rectum and urinary tract may 18 increase the risk of infection, inflammation, 19 20 erosion, fistula or translocation. Thus, it is a relatively widespread view that the use of meshes in 21 22 the treatment of vaginal prolapse is less desirable than in the treatment of other hernias. 23 24 Nevertheless, as the use of meshes to treat 25 uterovaginal prolapse can avoid anatomical 26 distortion and the above mentioned problems related 27 to this, the Applicant considers there are 28 significant benefits in the use of meshes in the 29 30 treatment of uterovaginal prolapse should it be possible to mitigate the problems associated with 31 mesh treatment. 32

5 .

The applicant has recognised that there are a number 1 of specific features of conventional meshes that 2 exacerbate the problems of fistula, sinus, edge 3 extrusion, infection etc., particularly when these 4 meshes are implanted in the vaginal wall. 5 Applicant has therefore realised that it is possible 6 to provide a surgical implant that has the benefits 7 8 of mesh treatment, i.e. the avoidance of anatomical distortion and its related problems, and also 9 minimises the above mentioned problems. 10 11 One specific problem with conventional meshes that 12 the Applicant has recognised is that they have 13 jagged or rough edges. The rough edges arise as 14 conventional meshes are generally formed from sheets 15 of multiple woven or intersecting fibres or strands. 16 When the meshes are cut to size in manufacture or 17 prior to fitting, the stray ends of the fibres or 18 strands are left extending from the edge of the 19 mesh, particularly where the edge is curved. In 20 other words, the perimeter of the mesh comprises the 21 spaced ends of the fibres or strands and is not 22 smooth. It is thought that the jagged rough nature 23 of the edges of the implant increases the likelihood 24 of extrusion of the edge of the mesh in situ. 25 26 Conventional meshes are generally unnecessarily 27 strong and substantial for use in the vaginal wall 28 and of significant mass. This results in an 29 unnecessary excess of foreign body material in the 30 vaginal wall, increasing the risks associated with 31 the placement of foreign bodies inside the human 32

6

body, such as the risk of infection. Likewise, the 1 2 bulk of such meshes can undesirably result in discomfort for the patient as the mesh can often be 3 felt when in position. This is of particular 4 concern when a mesh is placed in sensitive vaginal 5 tissues or near to bowel or bladder. 6 7 8 A further disadvantage of the meshes presently used to treat hernias relates to pore size. The pore 9 size of meshes in use is unphysiological and does 10 not encourage acceptance of the implant in the body. 11 12 It is a aim of the present invention to overcome 13 problems associated with existing meshes used to 14 15 treat hernias. 16 According to the present invention there is provided 17 18 a surgical implant suitable for treatment of 19 hernias, the implant comprising a mesh having a 20 residual maximum mass density of 50g/m². 21 Preferably the maximum mass density is less than 22 30g/m². More preferably the maximum mass density is 23 less than $25g/m^2$. 24 25 By minimising mass density of a mesh for use in 26 27 treating hernias the advantages of using a mesh are still apparant whereas the disadvantages are 28 lessened in that jagged and rough edges are 29 minimised as is the risk of infection. The residual 30 mass density is the mass density of the mesh after 31 implantation. 32

1	Preferably the surgical implant mesh comprises
2	strands and includes major spaces and pores.
3	
4	The strands of the mesh may be formed by at least
5	two filaments, the major spaces formed between the
6	strands providing the surgical implant with the
7	necessary strength, the filaments arranged such that
8	pores are formed in the strands of the mesh.
9	
10	Alternatively the strands may be formed by
11	monofilaments which form loops which give rise to
12.	the pores.
13	
14	Preferably strands are spaced by wider distance than
15	the fibres or filaments of conventional meshes used
16	in hernia repair.
17	
18	Preferably the strands are spaced apart to form
19	major spaces of between 1 to 10 mm.
20	•
21	More preferably the strands are spaced apart to form
22	major spaces of between 2 to 8 mm.
23	
24	The use of mesh having strands spaced between 1 to
25	10 mm apart has the advantage of reducing the
26	foreign body mass that is implanted in the human
27	body. Only sufficient tensile strength to securely
28	support the defect and tissue being repaired is
29	provided by the mesh.
30	•
31	It is desirable that the mesh of the present
32	invention has a mass of between one tenth (1/10th)

8

1 and one hundredth (1/100th) that of a conventional, 2 e.g. Prolene®, mesh of the same surface area. mesh of the invention therefore avoids the 3 4 unnecessary bulk of conventional meshes. 5 6 More specifically it is preferred that the mass density is less than 50g/m², more preferably less 7 than 30g/m and most preferably less than 20g/m². 8 9 It is also preferred that the strands of the mesh of 10 the present invention are narrower than those of meshes of the prior art. 11 12 Preferably the strands have a diameter of less than 13 14 600µm. 15 16 In one embodiment the strands are arranged to form a 17 diamond net mesh. 18 19 In an alternative embodiment the strands are 20 arranged to form a hexagonal net mesh. 21 22 The strands and filaments are preferably warp knit. 23 In an alternative embodiment the strands are 24 arranged to form a net mesh with suitable tensile 25 strength and elasticity. 26 27 28 Preferably the strands are arranged to form a net 29 mesh which has isotropic or near isotropic tensile 30 strength and elasticity. 31

و .

1 Preferably the filaments have a diameter of between 0.02 to 0.15 mm. 2 3 More preferably the filament of the mesh is of a 4 5 diameter 0.08 to 0.1 mm. 6 7 This likewise has the advantage of reducing the overall bulk of the implant, and hence the amount of 8 material retained in the human body. 9 10 11 Particular meshes which are embodiments of the 12 present invention include warp knit diamond or 13 hexagon net diamond net meshes. Four particular 14 embodiments are set out below. 15 16 In two particular embodiments wherein the filaments 17 are formed from polypropylene having a diameter of 0.07 - 0.08mm wherein the strands are spaced to form 18 19 spaces of either 2mm or 5mm. 20 Alternatively, filaments are formed from polyester 21 22 having a diameter of 0.09mm wherein the strands are spaced to form spaces of 5mm. 23 24 25 Alternatively, filaments are formed from polyester having a diameter of 0.05 - 0.07mm wherein the 26 27 strands are spaced to form spaces of 2mm. 28 29 As the surgical implant is comprised of narrow 30 members arranged to be spaced by relatively wide 31 gaps, major spaces, tissue may be slow to grow into the mesh. It is desirable for the mesh to have 32

10

means for promoting tissue ingrowth. More 1 2 specifically, it is desirable to provide pores in the strands of the mesh to aid tissue ingrowth and 3 4 to which tissue may more easily adhere. 5 6 Preferably two filaments are interwoven/knitted to produce strands of the mesh comprising pores. 7 8 9 Alternatively at least three filaments are interwoven/knitted to produce strands of the mesh 10 comprising pores. 11 12 13 For manufacturing reasons it is preferred that two filaments are used to form the pores in the strands 14 of the mesh which aid tissue ingrowth, however if 15 the one filament could be suitably knotted or 16 twisted to form pores of suitable dimensions it is 17 clear that this could be used to similar effect to 18 19 form the strands of the mesh. 20 Preferably the pores in the strands are of between 21 50 to 200µm in diameter. 22 23 More preferably the pores are of between 50 to 75 µm 24 in diameter. 25 26 This is important in enabling efficient fibroblast 27 throughgrowth and ordered collagen laydown in order 28 to provide optimal integration into the body. This 29 is discussed in detail in copending Patent. 30 Application No PCT/GB01/04554. 31 32

11

Rings or loops of material comprising pores of 1 2 between 50 to 200 mm may be adhered to or formed on 3 the strands of the mesh to provide pores. As mentioned above, reducing the mass of the mesh 5 6 has distinct advantages in relation to the suitability of the mesh for implantation in the 7 8 body, i.e. the reduction of foreign body mass and improving the comfort of the patient. However, the 9 handling characteristics of such a mesh, e.g. the 10 ease with which a surgeon can manipulate and place 11 12 the surgical implant in its desired location in the body, can be poor in some circumstances. More 13 specifically, a mesh having narrow members or 14 15 strands that are widely spaced will inevitably be somewhat flimsy and lacking in rigidity compared to 16 17 conventional meshes. 18 Ideally the implant should be formed from materials 19 or uses technologies which provide the implant with 20 Dual Phase Technology M, such that it has suitable 21 surgical handling characteristics and is also of 22 23 minimal mass and suited for implantation in the body. The implant may be formed from a range of 24 25 materials to provide it with Dual Phase Technology™. 26 27 The term Dual Phase Technology™ refers to a means 28 to provide temporary substance to the mesh. Depending on the type of Dual Phase TechnologyTM 29 employed the benefits imported, in addition to 30 31 allowing minimal residual mesh mass may include assisting the mesh to be handled and cut, minimising 32

12 .

1	the effect of rough edges, assisting placing the
2	mesh in position and providing tackiness to assist
3	in holding the mesh in position on implantation,
4	thus minimising or negating the need for any
5	additional fixation by suturing or adhesion.
6	
7	In a preferred embodiment of the invention having
8	improved handling characteristics, the implant
9	therefore has an absorbable coating.
10	Preferably this coating encapsulates the mesh of the
11	surgical implant.
12	
13	Alternatively this coating is applied to at least
14	one face of the mesh.
15	·
16	The coating, covering or layer of absorbable
17	material stiffens and adds bulk to the mesh such
18	that it is easier to handle.
19	
20	As the coating, covering or layer is absorbable, it
21	is absorbed by the body after implantation and does
22	not contribute to the foreign body mass retained in
23	the body. Thus, the advantages of a surgical
24	implant having minimal mass are retained.
25	
26	Preferably the coating, covering a layer absorbs
27	within 48 hours following implantation.
28	
29	The coating, covering or layer may comprise any
30	suitable soluble and biocompatible material.
31	

1	Suitable hydrogel materials can be obtained from
2	First Water in the UK. A typical hydrogel being
3 .	developed for use in this application is known as
4	FIRST PHASETM OF PHASE 1TM.
5	
6	The absorbable material may be a soluble hydrogel
7	such as gelatin,
8 .	
9	Alternatively the absorbable material is a starch or
10	cellulose based hydrogel.
11	
12	In a further alternative the absorbable material is
13	an alginate.
14	
15 ·	In a further alternative the absorbable material may
16	contain hyaluronic acid.
17	
18	The coating, covering or layer may have any
19	thickness or bulk that provides the surgical implant
20	with suitable handling characteristics.
21	
22.	Preferably, the coating is a sheet with a thickness
23	greater than that of the mesh.
24	·
25	Suitable handling characteristics may also be
26	provided to the mesh by a range of other methods.
27	The surgical implant may comprise a mesh and a
28	backing strip the backing strip releasably
29	attachable to the mesh.
30	
31	The backing strip may be formed from a range of
32	materials including plastics.

1	The surgical implant may be releasably attachable to	
2	the backing strip by adhesive.	
3		
4	The releasable attachment of a backing strip to the	
5	mesh provides a more substantial and less flexible	
6	surgical implant that is more easily handled by a	
7	surgeon. Following suitable placement of the	
8	surgical implant the backing strip can be removed	
9	from the surgical implant, the surgical implant	
10	being retained in the body and the backing material	
11 -	being removed by the surgeon. The surgical implant	
12	can therefore benefit from reduced mass while still	
13	providing characteristics required for surgical	
14	handling.	
15	·	
16	In a further alternative the strands of the mesh of	
17	the surgical implant are comprised of bicomponent	
18	microfibres.	
19	•	
20	Preferably the bicomponent microfibres comprise a	
21	core material and surface material.	
22		
23	The composite or biocomponent fibres preferably	
24	comprise a nonabsorbable or long lasting absorbable	
25	core and a shorter lasting absorbable surface	
26	material.	
27	·	
28	Whereas any licenced materials amy be used, suitable	
29	materials presently available include polypropylene	
30	for the core and polylactic acid or polyglycolic	
31	acid for the surface materials.	

15

Alternativley the bicomponent microfibres comprise 1 an material which is rapidly absorbed by the body 2 and a material which is not absorbed for a suitable 3 4 longer period of time. 5 6 Preferably the surface material is capable of being absorbed by the body in a period of less than 48 7 8 hours. 9 Preferably the core material is capable of remaining 10 in the body for a period of time sufficient to 11 12 enable tissue ingrowth. 13 The surface material of the bicomponent microfibres 14 15 or a portion of the composite polymers present during the insertion and placement of the surgical 16 17 implant provides the surgical implant with characteristics required for surgical handling. 18 19 Following a period of insertion in the body, the 20 surface material of the bicomponent microfibre is 21 22 absorbed by the body leaving behind the reduced foreign mass of the core material of the strands of 23 24 the mesh. 25 It is preferred that the surface material of the 26 bicomponent microfibre is absorbed by the body 27 within a number of hours such that only a core 28 portion is left in the body for an extended length 29 30 of time. Typically materials presently available which could be used to form the microfibres are 31 absorbed by the body over a period of days or weeks. 32

. 16

The filaments of the mesh comprise a plastics or 1 2 synthetic material. 3 Preferably the filaments of the mesh comprise of 4 5 polypropylene or polyester. 6 7 Alternatively the filaments of the mesh comprise an absorbable material. 8 9 10 It can be appreciated that filaments which comprise in part of absorbable material would allow better 11 surgical handling, but would enable the implant to 12 also have minimal mass following implantation in the 13 14 body. 15 Preferably the surgical implant comprises material 16 17 that has memory. 18 19 Preferably the surgical implant has memory which urges the surgical implant to adopts a flat 20 21 conformation. 22 Preferably the implant has a generally curved 23 24 perimeter, i.e. to have few or no corners or apexes, 25 as sharp corners increase the likelihood of edge erosion and infection. The specific shape will, 26 however, vary according to the use to which the 27 28 implant is to be put. 29 Due to the variety of sizes of such defects, and of 30 the various fascia that may need repair by the 31 32 implant, the implant may have any suitable size,

1	Preferably the surgical implant is of width between	
2	1 cm to 10 cm and of length between 1 cm to 10 cm.	
3		
4	It may be desirable to provide a variety of implants	
5	having different sizes in order that a surgeon can	
6	select an implant of suitable size to treat a	
7	particular patient. This allows implants to be	
8	completely formed before delivery, ensuring, for	
9	example, that the smooth edge is properly formed	
10	under the control of the manufacturer. The surgeon	
11	would have a variety of differently sized (and/or	
12	shaped) implants to hand and select the appropriate	
13	implant to use after assessment of the patient.	
14	•	
15	Typically an anterior uterovaginal prolapse is	
16	ellipse shaped or a truncated ellipse whereas a	
17	posterior prolapse is circular or ovoid in shape.	
18		
19	Accordingly the implant shape may be any one of	
20	elliptical or tuncated ellipse, round, circular,	
21	oval, ovoid or some similar shape to be used	
22	depending on the hernia or polapse to be treated.	
23		
24	Different shapes are suitable for repairing	
25	different defects in fascial tissue and thus by	
26	providing a surgical implant which can be cut to a	
27	range of shapes a wide range of defects in fascial	
28	tissue can be treated.	
29		
30	Preferably the mesh can be cut to any desired size.	
31	The cutting may be carried out by a surgeon or nurse	
32	under sterile conditions such that the surgeon need	

18

1 not have many differently sized implants to hand, 2 but can simply cut a mesh to the desired size of the implant after assessment of the patient. In other 3 words, the implant may be supplied in a large size 4 and be capable of being cut to a smaller size, as 5 desired. 6 7 8 In this regard, whilst the surgical implant of the invention is particularly useful for the repair of 9 10 uterovaginal prolapse, it may be used in a variety 11 of surgical procedures including the repair of 12 hernias. 13 14 Preferably the surgical implant is suitable for use 15 in the treatment of hernias including incisional and 16 inguinal hernias and/or for the treatment of 17 uterovaginal prolapse. 18 19 More broadly, the Applicant has therefore recognised 20 that the implant can have any shape that conforms 21 with an anatomical surface of the human or animal 22 body that may be subject to a defect to be repaired 23 by the implant. 24 25 As discussed a disadvantage of the meshes used in hernia repair is that they have jagged or rough 26 27 edges. Due to the wide spacing between strands of 28 the mesh described above and the small diameter of 29 the filaments, the edge problems are mitigated to an 30 extent by the present invention. 31

19

1 To further reduce edge problems it would be 2 preferable if a mesh had a circumferential member 3 which extends, in use, along at least part of the 4 perimeter of the implant to provide a substantially 5 smooth edge. 6 7 In other words, the mesh has at least one 8 circumferential member (i.e. fibre, strand or such 9 like) that extends around at least part of its circumference. 10 11 Preferably at least part of the perimeter of the 12 13 implant is defined by the circumferential member, 14 Alternatively at least part of the perimeter of the 15 implant is defined by more than one circumferential 16 member, at the edge of the mesh. 17 18 19 The edge of the mesh, and hence the perimeter of the 20 implant, can therefore be generally smooth and this has significant advantages over conventional 21 22 surgical meshes. Specifically, the Applicant has 23 recognised that an implant having a smooth edge is 24 less likely to cause edge extrusion or erosion. 25 26 Any amount of the perimeter of the implant may be 27 defined by the circumferential member(s). 28 29 However, in order to maximise the benefits of the 30 implant of the invention, it is preferable that at 31 least 50% of the perimeter of the implant is defined 32 by the circumferential member(s)...

20

1 More preferably at least 80% of the perimeter of the implant is defined by the circumferential member(s). 2 3 4 Most preferably 100% of the perimeter of the implant 5. is defined by the circumferential member(s). 6 7 The majority or the whole of the perimeter of the mesh being smooth minimises the risk of a rough edge 8 9 causing edge erosion or infection. 10 The circumferential member(s) may be arranged in one 11 of a variety of ways to provide the smooth edge or 12 13 perimeter. 14 15 Preferably the circumferential members are arranged 16 such that they each follow the edge of a desired shape of the surgical implant, the perimeter of the 17 implant formed from as few members as possible. 18 19 20 This simplifies the construction of the mesh, which 21 is desirable not only for manufacture, but also 22 because simpler structures are less likely to have 23 defects which might be problematic after 24 implantation. 25 Preferably the perimeter of the mesh is defined, in 26 27 use, by one circumferential member. 28 Preferably the mesh has a plurality of 29 30 circumferential members arranged at different radial 31 locations.

1	In order to provide an implant of given dimensions
2	the periphery of the mesh outward of the desired
3	circumferential member is cut away such that one or
4	more selected circumferential members form the
5	perimeter of the implant as desired.
6	
7	More preferably, the circumferential members are
8	arranged concentrically.
9	
10	A concentric arrangement of a plurailty of
11	circumferential members conveniently allows
12	maintenance of the shape of the implant for
13	different sizes of implant and provides the mesh
14	with an even structure.
15	
16	The remainder of the structure of the mesh may take
17	a variety of forms.
18	
19	The circumferential members can be arranged to join
20	with one another in order to form an integral mesh.
21	·
22	Alternatively the mesh may additionally comprise
23	transverse members which extend across the
24	circumferential members joining the circumferential
25	members.
26	
27	The transverse members may extend radially from a
28	central point to the perimeter of the implant.
29	
30	Alternatively, the transverse members may extend
31	toward the perimeter of the implant.
32	

1	Preferably the transverse members are arranged to
2	provide substantially even structural strength and
3	rigidity to the implant.
4	
5	It may be desirable to secure the mesh in place once
6	it has been suitably located in the patient.
7	•
8	Preferably the mesh can be sutured to strong lateral
9	tissue.
10	•
11	Alternatively, the mesh may be glued in place using
12	a biocompatible glue.
13	
14	This is advantageous, as it is fairly quick to apply
15	glue to the area around the surgical implant.
16	
17	Preferably the mesh comprises at least one capsule
18	containing biocompatible glue for securing the
19	implant in place.
20	
21	Preferably 4 capsules containing glue are provided
22	around the perimeter of the surgical implant.
23	
24	Preferably the capsules comprise hollow thin walled
25	spheres of around 3 to 5 mm diameter including
26	gelatin.
27	
28	Preferably the glue is a cyanoacrylate glue.
29	
30	Conventionally, open procedures have been preferred
31	for the treatment of hernias with meshes, as
32	relatively broad access is required to the site of

Ŧ	the detect to anitabily implant and secure a mean by
2	sutures or such like.
3	•
4	However, it is desirable to treat hernias, as when
5	carrying out any surgery, with as little trauma to
6	the patient as possible. Thus, the use of minimally
7	invasive techniques has been suggested for the
8	treatment of hernias. However, such surgical
9	techniques have not been considered to be useful in
10	the treatment of uterovaginal prolapse with a mesh,
11	as it has not been considered practical to position
12	a mesh subcutaneously in the vaginal wall due to the
13	difficulty in gaining direct access to this area.
14	·
15	According to another aspect of the present
16	invention, there is provided a minimally invasive
17	method of treating uterovaginal prolapse, the method
18	comprising the steps;
19	
20	making an incision in the vaginal wall close to
21	the opening of the vaginal cavity and,
22	
23	making a subcutaneous cut, through the
24	incision, over and surrounding the area of the
25	prolapse, which cut is substantially parallel
26	to the vaginal wall; and
27	
28	inserting a mesh according to the present
29	invention, through the incision, into the space
30	defined by the cut.
31	

1 .	Thus, a mesh or the surgical implant such as that	
2	according to the invention can be inserted through a	
3	small incision (e.g. around 1cm to 2 cm in length)	
4	at or in the region of the periphery or opening of	
5	the vaginal cavity. An incision in this position is	
6	easier for a surgeon to access than an incision	
7	deeper in the vaginal cavity, yet the Applicant has	
8	realised that it is also convenient to treat vaginal	
9	prolapse by implanting a mesh in a surgical	
10	procedure carried out entirely through such an	
11	incision.	
12		
13	Preferably, the incision is at the anterior or	
14	posterior extremity of the prolapse sac of the	
15	vaginal cavity.	
16		
17	This is desirable as prolapse most often occurs in	
18	the anterior or posterior vaginal wall, so	
19	positioning the incision in such a location allows	
20	the most convenient access to these parts of the	
21	vaginal wall.	
22 ·		
23	The provision of suitable handling characteristics	
24	for the mesh is particularly advantageous when the	
25	mesh is intended to be used in a conventional open	
26	surgical procedure, as the surgeon needs to handle	
27	the implant directly in order to place it in its.	
28	desired location.	
29		
30	However, the suitable placement particularly in the	
31	treatment of uterovaginal prolapse, by minimally	
32	invasive techniques require the mesh to be as	

25

1 flexible as possible and therefore to have no 2 absorbable coating or encasement. 3 4 A flexible, less bulky mesh may be more easily 5 handled by tools that may be used to carry out the 6 procedure. 7 8 Tools that may be used to carry out this procedure have a number of specific needs that need to be met 9 10 that are not presently met by conventional minimally invasive surgical tools. 11 12 13 These specific needs can best be understood by 14 considering the steps of the surgical procedure of 15. the invention in turn. 16 17 The incision is made in the vaginal wall at the 18 opening of the vaginal cavity. This can be carried 19 out using a conventional implement such as a 20 scalpel. It is preferable that the incision is as 21 small as possible as this reduces trauma to the 22 patient. 23 24 A cut is then made in the vaginal wall over the 25 defect causing the prolapse or hernia. For example, scissors or another specialised cutting tool can be 26 27 inserted through the incision and manipulated to provide a cut over the defect. The cut is below the 28 surface of the skin and may provide a space between . 29 an upper (or outer) layer and a lower (or inner) 30 layer of the vaginal wall, or between the skin and 31

26

the vaginal wall, in the region of the defect, into 1 2 which cavity the mesh can be inserted. 3 4 Next, the mesh is placed in the space defined by the It is preferred that the mesh of the invention ·5 is supplied rolled up in order that it can be 6 inserted through a small incision and unfurled in 7 8 situ, i.e. in its intended position. Thus, it may 9 be possible for the surgeon to insert the mesh through the incision by hand. However, this is 10 likely to result in the incision needing to be large 11 12 enough for the surgeon to insert a finger to manipulate the mesh in the space. This may cause 13 unnecessary trauma to the patient and can be 14 15 difficult for a surgeon to carry out. 16 According to another aspect of the present 17 18 invention, there is provided a surgical tool for 19 delivering a mesh subcutaneously through an incision, the tool being adapted to radially confine 20 the mesh during delivery and being operable to 21 release the mesh in its intended position. 22 23 Such a tool for placement of a mesh or the surgical 24 25 implant of the present invention can insert and . 26 position the mesh or surgical implant in a 27 convenient and controlled manner through a small incision. Furthermore, the incision through which 28 29 the mesh is inserted need only be as large as the diameter of the tool, or the tool when carrying the 30 31 mesh, which can be significantly smaller than where

1	a surgeon's linger must be able to fit through the
2	incision.
3	
4 .	Preferably the tool comprises a housing and
5	unfurling means the housing and unfurling means
6	insertable through an incision in the patient, the
7	housing and unfurling means adapted to accommodate a
8	rolled up mesh and separable to release the mesh the
9	unfurling means capable of unfurling the rolled up
10	mesh without any significant movement around the
11	area of the incision
12	
13	Preferably, the tool comprises two or more parts,
14	the parts movable such that in a first position they
15	house the mesh or surgical implant and, in a second
16	position the mesh or surgical implant is released.
17	More preferably the tool comprises two semi-circular
18	channels, an inner channel having an external
19	diameter suitable for fitting inside an outer
20	channel.
21	
. 22	The channels may be rotatable about a common axis
23	such that in a first position the open faces of the
24	channels face one another to form a closed housing
25	and in a second position the inner channel sits
26	inside the other channel to release the mesh.
27	
28	Alternative the tool comprises a shaft and
29	releasable securing means, the shaft adapted such
30	that the mesh can be rolled around the shaft and
31	releasable securing means to secure the rolled mesh
32	in place.

28

1 In use, the tool is inserted through the incision 2 with the mesh rolled around the outside of the 3 shaft. Once the tool has been inserted, the mesh is 4 released by turning the shaft to unroll the mesh at 5 the same time as moving the shaft across the space 6 in which the mesh is being placed. 7 8 A needle may be used to secure the free, outer end 9 of the mesh whilst it is unfurled. The needle may 10 be inserted through the vaginal wall to pin the mesh 11 in place. Similarly, where the mesh is released 12 from within a housing, needles may be used to ease 13 the mesh out of the open housing. 14 15 In an alternate embodiment, the tool comprises two 16 or more arms, each of which is releasably attached at one end to an edge of the surgical implant. The 17 arms may be movable from a first position in which 18 19 they radially confine the mesh to a second position 20 to unfurl the mesh in its intended position. 21 22 In one example, the arms are pivotally 23 interconnected such that they can be manipulated to 24 move the ends of the arms from the first position to 25 the second position. 26 In another example the arms may be arranged to 27 28 extend radially outward from a housing to move from 29 the first position to the second position. The 30 extendable arms may comprise wires arranged to be extendable and retractable from and into the housing 31 by operation at an end of the housing. 32

1	In another example, the arms may be resilient or
2	sprung elements that can be released from the first
3	position and move into the second position to which
4	they are biased, i.e. to unfurl the mesh.
5	•
6	As can be appreciated, all of the above embodiments
7	of the tool are able to unfurl the mesh without any
8	significant movement around area of the incision.
9	For example, the pivot can be arranged to coincide
10	with the incision, the tool rolled around an arc
11	centred at the incision or the arms operated or
.2	housing opened forward of the incision. Thus, the
L3	incision can be small as no lateral movement is
4	required at the area of the incision.
.5	
. 6	Embodiments of the present invention will now be
L 7	described, by way of example only, with reference to
. 8	the accompanying drawings, in which:
L9	
20	Figure 1 is an illustration of a hernia;
21	v
22	Figure 2 is an illustration of the hernia of
23	figure 1 when intra-abdominal pressure is
24	raised;
25	•
26	Figure 3 is an illustration of the hernia of
27	figure 1 after repair in accordance with the
88	prior art;
29	
30	Figure 4 is an illustration of the hernia of
31	figure 1 after an alternate repair in
32	accordance with the prior art;

1	
2	Figure 5 is a schematic illustration of the
3	female human vaginal area;
4	
5	Figure 6 is a cross-sectional view of the
6	female human vaginal area along the line A-A of
7	Figure 5;
8	
9	Figures 7a and 7b illustrate surgical implants
10	according to the invention having a first
11 ,	shape;
12	
13	Figures 8a, 8b, 8c and 8d illustrate surgical
14	implants according to the invention having a
15	second shape;
16	
17	Figures 9a, 9b 9c and 9d illustrate surgical
18	implants according to the invention having a
19	third shape;
20	
21	Figure 10 illustrates a first surgical tool .
22	according to the invention in cross-section;
23	
24	Figure 11 illustrates a second surgical tool
25	according to the invention;
26	
27	Figure 12 illustrates a third surgical tool
28	according to the invention; and
29	·
30	Figure 13 illustrates a fourth surgical tool
31	according to the invention.
32	

31

1 Referring to Figures 1 and 2, a hernia, vaginal 2 prolapse or such like occurs when a fascial wall 1 3 ruptures, forming a defect 2, i.e. a weakening or, 4 in this case, parting of the fascial wall 1. An 5 organ 3, contained by the fascial wall 1 is then 6 able to protrude through the defect 2. Such 7 protrusion is illustrated in Figure 2 and occurs 8 particularly when pressure within the cavity defined 9 by the fascial wall 1 is raised. For example, in the case of an inguinal hernia, when a patient 10 11 coughs, intra-abdominal pressure is raised and the 12 intestines may be pushed through the defect 2 in the 13 abdominal wall. 14 15 Whilst the organ 3 that may protrude through the defect 2 is usually still contained by some other 16 membrane 4, the hernia, prolapse or such like is 17 18 inevitably painful and liable to infection or other complications. An effective and desirable treatment 19 . 20 is therefore to close the defect 2 and contain the 21 organ 3 in its normal position. 22 Referring to Figure 3, hernias, vaginal prolapse and 23 such like are conventionally repaired by providing 24 sutures 5 across the defect 2 to join the tissues of 25 26 the fascial wall 1. In addition, it may be firstly 27 necessary to plicate (i.e. fold or reduce) the 28 membrane 4 as this may have stretched due to 29 distention of the organ 3. Plication of the 30 membrane 4 corrects the stretching and helps to 31 relieve pressure on the area of the defect 2 during 32 healing as the membrane 4 can act to contain the

32

1 organ 3 to some extent. Plication is generally 2 achieved by applying sutures 6 to the membrane 4. 3 4 Referring to Figure 4, it is also a known method of 5 treating hernias to provide, additionally or alternatively to sutures, a mesh 7 across the defect 6 This allows for the defect 2 to be repaired 7 8 without the parted tissues of the fascial wall 1 9 necessarily being brought together and for the 10 defect to heal without the fascial wall 1 being pinched or tensioned to correct the defect 2. 11 12 13 Figure 5 schematically illustrates (a sagittal view 14 of) the female human vaginal area. The vagina 8 is 15 illustrated with its anterior portion (front) at the top of the diagram and the posterior portion (rear) 16 at the bottom of the diagram. The opening of the 17 18 urethra, or urethral meatus, 9 is at the forward or 19 anterior end of the vagina 8. The central portion 20 of the vagina 8 forms the vaginal cavity which 21 terminates at the cervix 10. Spaced from the 22 rearward or posterior end of the vagina 8 is the anus 11. Four areas A to D of the vaginal wall 12 23 are outlined in figure 5. These areas A to D are 24 25 those areas of the vaginal wall 12 in which vaginal 26 prolapse often occurs. 27 28 Referring to figure 6, which is a cross sectional view along the line A-A in figure 5, it can be more 29 clearly seen that the wall 12 of the vagina 8 is 30 31 bounded by the bladder 13 and urethra 14, the uterus 32 15, the small bowel 16 and rectum 17.

33

bowel 16 and rectum 17 are separated by the "Pouch 1 of Douglas" PoD. 2 3 4 Area A is the lower one third of the anterior 5 vaginal wall 12 (i.e. the one third nearest the entrance to the vaginal cavity) adjacent the bladder 6 7 13 and urethra 14. Prolapse in this area is referred to as anterior or, more specifically, 8 9 urethracoele prolapse. Area B is the upper two thirds of the anterior vaginal wall 12. Prolapse in 10 11 this area is referred to as anterior or, more 12 specifically; cystocoele prolapse. The central area 13 of the vaginal wall 12 in which the cervix 10 is located is adjacent the uterus 15 and prolapse in 14 15 this area is referred to as central, uterine or 16 vault prolapse. Area C is the upper one third of 17 ' the posterior vaginal wall 12. This area of the vaginal wall 12 is adjacent the small bowel 16 and 18 19 prolapse in this area is referred to as posterior or entreocoele prolapse. Finally, area D is the lower 20 21 two thirds of the posterior vaginal wall and is 22 adjacent the rectum 17. Prolapse in this area is generally referred to as posterior or rectocoele 23 24 prolapse. 25 26 Conventionally, any of the above types of hernia have been treated by providing sutures in the area 27 28 of the prolapse. For example, the extent of the defect causing the prolapse is first identified by 29 30 the surgeon. Lateral sutures, i.e. sutures from one 31 side to the other of the vaginal wall 12 as seen in figure 5 or right to left rather than anterior to 32

34

posterior, are provided across the area of the 1 2 defect. This joins the parted tissues of the 3 vaginal wall and repairs the defect. The organ 4 protruding through the vaginal wall is therefore 5 contained. Disadvantages of this technique include 6 anatomical distortion of the vagina due to 7 tensioning of the wall by the sutures to repair the 8 defect. 9 10 A surgical implant for use in the repair of vaginal prolapse in accordance with an embodiment of the 11 12 present invention comprises a mesh 20. The mesh is 13 comprised of strands 22. The strands being less 14 than 600 µm and approximately 150 to 600 µm in 15 diameter. The strands are arranged such that they 16 form a regular network and are spaced apart from 17 each other such that for a diamond net a space of 18 between 2mm to 5mm exists between the points where 19 the strands of the mesh interact with each other 20 (a). In a hexagonal net arrangement the space is 21 between 2mm to 5mm between opposite diagonal points 22 where the strands of the mesh interact (b). 23 24 It is preferable to space the strands as far as part 25 as possible to allow blood to pass through the 26 implant and reduce the mass of the implant, while providing the mesh with sufficient tensile strength 27 28 and elasticity to be effective. It can therefore be 29 appreciated that considerable variability in the 30 maximum spacing between the strands can be achieved depending of the material from with the strands are 31

35

comprised and the net pattern in which the strands 1 2 are arranged. 3 In the embodiment shown in figure 7a the strands are 4 5 arranged in a diamond net pattern 24, however any 6 pattern which provides suitable tensile strength an elasticity may be used. 7 8 9 For example a hexagonal net pattern may be used as shown in figure 7b. 10 11 Ideally in order to reduce the overall mass of the 12 13 implant the strands 22 should have as narrow a diameter as possible while still providing the mesh . 14 20 with suitable tensile strength and elasticity. 15 16 The strands 22 of the mesh 20 are comprised of at 17 least two filaments 26 arranged to interact such 18 19 that pores 28 are formed between the filaments 26. 20 The pores 28 formed between the filaments 26 are 21 22 around 50 to 200 µm, such a spacing allowing fibroblast through growth to occur. This fibroblast 23 24 through growth secures the implant 20 in place within the body. Additionally and importantly the 25 26 suitably sized pores allow the implant 20 to act as a scaffold to encourage the lay down of new tissue. 27 The lay down of new tissue promotes the healing of 28 the hernia. 29 30 31 The filaments 26 may be formed from any 32 biocompatible material. In this embodiment the

36

filaments 26 are formed from polyester, wherein each 1 polyester filament 26 is around 0.09 mm in diameter. 2 3 4 In the embodiment shown the filaments 26 of the 5 strands 24 are knitted together using warp knit to . 6 reduce the possibility of fraying of the filaments 26 and strands 24. 7 8 9 Alternative suitable materials of which the filaments may be formed include polypropylene. 10 11 12 Suitable materials from which the mesh can be made: provide sufficient tensile strength to support a 13 fascial wall during repair of a defect in the 14 fascial wall causing a hernia; are sufficiently 15 16 inert to avoid foreign body reactions when retained 17 in the human body for long periods of time; can be 18 easily sterilised to prevent the introduction of 19 infection when the mesh is implanted in the human 20 body; and have suitably easy handling characteristics for placement in the desired 21 22 location in the body. 23 The fine warp knit of the filaments 26 provides a 24 25 surgical implant which is flexible in handing, which 26 can be easily cut into different shapes and dimensions. As the strands 24 are formed using warp 27 knit the possibility of fraying of the edge of the 28 29 surgical implant 20 following production or cutting 30 of the surgical implant 20 is reduced. 31

1	Other methods of reducing fraying of the filaments
2	24, not arranged to form the strands using warp
3	knit, following cutting or production of the implant
4	are heat treatment, laser treatment or the like to
5	seal the edges of the surgical implant.
6	
7	The mesh 20 may be supplied in any shape or size and
8	cut to the appropriate dimensions as required by the
9	surgeon.
10	
11	It can be appreciated that cutting of the mesh will
12	produce an unfinished edge 30. Due to the sparse
13	nature of the strands that form the mesh and their
14	narrow diameter this unfinished edge does not suffer
15	from the same problems as edges of meshes of the
16	prior art.
17	
18	In other words the edge produced is not rough and
19	jagged such that it increases the likelihood of
20	extrusion of the edge of the mesh in situ or the
21	chance of infection.
22	
23	As discussed an advantage of the mesh of the present
24	invention is that it allows the production of a mesh $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) $
25	suitable for use in hernia repair which allows .
26	substantially less foreign material to be left into
27	the body.
28	
29	However, the mesh being flexible and insubstantial
30	is less suitable for allowing easy handling of the
31	mesh directly by a surgeon. Referring to figure 8a

38

and 8b the mesh described above may be treatable 1 2 using an absorbable coating 32. 3 The absorbable coating 32 comprises a layer of 4 absorbable material having a thickness greater than 5 that of the strands 22 of the mesh 20. For example, 6 the thickness of the layer of absorbable material 7 may be around 1 to 2 mm. The strands 22 of the mesh 8 9 20 may be entirely embedded in the absorbable coating 32 such that the outer surface of the mesh 10 11 20 is covered entirely of the absorbable coating 32. 12 13 In effect the entire surgical implant is encased in 14 the absorbable coating as shown in figure 8b. 15 16 Thus, the surgical implant has no gaps or holes on 17 its surface. This has the advantage of reducing the 18 likelihood of bacteria becoming lodged on the strands 22 of the mesh 20 before implantation of the 19 20 mesh 20. Furthermore, the absorbable coating 32 21 makes the mesh 20 more substantial and less flexible 22 such that it is more easily handled by a surgeon. 23 This is particularly useful when it is desired to 24 place the mesh in a desired location in a 25 conventional, open surgical procedure. 26 27 In an alternative embodiment shown in figure 8a the 28 absorbable coating 32 comprises a layer of absorbable material applied to one face 34 of the 29 mesh 20, such that the mesh has a first face 34 on 30 which the absorbable material has been applied and a 31 second face 36 on which the absorbable material has 32

39

not been applied such that the first and second 1 2 faces 34 and 36 each have different characteristics. 3 4 It can also be envisaged that the surgical implant is provided with improved surgical handling 5 6 qualities by a range of other methods. Such methods 7 including, the releasable attachment of the mesh 20 8 to a backing strip 40. This embodiment is shown in 9 figure 8c. 10 11 The backing strip may be formed from plastics 12 material and is adhered to the surgical implant 13 using releasable adhesive. 14 15 In a similar fashion to the absorbable coating the 16 backing strip 40 causes the mesh 20 to be more substantial and less flexible such that it is more 17 18 easily handled by a surgeon. Following the suitable 19 placement of the mesh 20 the backing strip 40 can be 20 removed from the mesh 20, the mesh 20 being retained 21 in the body and the backing material 40 being 22 removed by the surgeon. Application of the backing strip 40 to the mesh 20 means the mesh 20 benefits 23 from reduced mass but that the mesh 20 and backing 24 strip 40 together give characteristics required for 25 : 26 surgical handling. 27 28 In a further embodiment the filaments of the mesh 29 may be comprised from bicomponent microfibres 50 or 30 composite polymers 60. These technologies provide 31 the implant with dual phase technology.

40

As shown in figure 8d the bicomponent microfibres 50 1 comprise a core 52 (cutaway section shows core 2 region) and surface material 54. The surface 3 material 54 is designed such that it is absorbed by 4 the body in a matter of hours, while the core 5 material 52 remains in the body for a longer period 6 to enable tissue ingrowth. 7 8 Suitable bicomponent microfibres 50 include a 9 polypropelene non absorable portion and a polylactic 10 acid absorbable portion. 11 12 The surface material 54 is present during the 13 surgical procedure when the mesh 20 is being 14 inserted and located in the patient, and provides 15 the mesh with characteristics desirable for surgical 16 handling. Following a period of insertion in the 17 body, typically a few hours, the surface material 54 18 is absorbed into the body leaving only the core 19 material 52 of the filaments 26 in the body. The 20 core material of the filament having reduced foreign 21 mass in comparison to meshes of the prior art or the 22 mesh 20 when it also includes the surface material 23 54. 24 25 As shown in figure 8e the mesh of the surgical 26 implant may be formed composite polymers 60. As 27 described for the bicomponent microfibres 50, 28 composite polymers 60 provide the surgical implant 29 with dual phase technology. A first face 62 of the 30° mesh 20 thus having particular characteristics such . 31 as flexibility and elasticity, while a second face 32

1	64 of the mesh 20 provides the mesh 20 with
2	characteristics which improved the surgical handling
3	of the mesh 20 such as strength and robustness.
4	The cutting of the mesh described causes an
5	unfinished edge of the mesh to be produced. This
6	unfinished mesh not being as likely to cause the
7	same problems as the rough and jagged edges of the
8	implants of the prior art, due to the fewer strands,
9	smaller diameter filaments and treatment of the mesh
10	with absorbable coating which protects the tissue
11	from the mesh during the surgical procedure when
12	damage is most likely to occur.
13	
14	Referring to 9a, a further embodiment of the mesh.
15 .	may comprise strands as discussed and more
16	specifically, perimeter strands. Typically the mesh
17 ·	is circular or the like in shape and thus this
18	perimeter strand can be generally referred to as a
19	circumferential strand 70.
20	
21	In the example shown in figure 9a one strand runs
22	around the circumference of the oval shape of the
23	mesh 20. In another embodiment, several
24	circumferential strands 70 may be present, each
25	circumferential strand 70 may extend over one side
26	of the oval mesh 20, i.e. around half the
27	circumference of the mesh.
28	
29	As shown in figure 9b the circumferential strands 70
30	are arranged concentrically and each extends around
31	the mesh 20 at a different radial location.
32	

1	An outer circumferential strand 70 extending around
2	the perimeter of the mesh 20, and further
3	circumferential strands 72 and 74 are arranged
4	inwardly of the outer circumferential strand forming
5	a perimeter spaced by a distance (a). The distance
6	a between adjacent circumferential members 70, 72
7	and 74, can vary and in this example is 20 mm.
8	
9	Transverse strands 76 extend from the centre of the
10	oval mesh 20 to points on the perimeter of the mesh
11	78. In this example, four transverse strands 76 are
12	provided across the diameter of the mesh 20,
13	dividing the mesh 18 into eight angularly equal
14	portions.
15	
16	The mesh 20 of this embodiment may be formed from
17	materials as previously described. Depending on the
18	material chosen the mesh may be woven, knitted or
19	extruded as one piece, or individual or groups of
20	strands can be extruded separately and joined to one
21	another.
22	
23	Such a construction as described above provides a
24	mesh 20 with sufficient tensile strength to repair
25	defects causing vaginal prolapse whilst having
26	minimal bulk. Similarly, such a construction
27	provides a suitably flexible yet resilient mesh for
28	handling using the surgical tools described below.
29	Referring to figures 9c and 9d, meshes 80, 82 of in
30	the shape of the outline having angled sides
31	respectively, rather than oval, are illustrated.
32	

1 These meshes have a similar structure to that 2 described with reference to figure 9a and b. 3 However, the mesh has a perimeter member 80 having. 4 angled sides. Further it may have transverse 5 members arranged only to extend towards the perimeter of the mesh, rather than all being across 6 the diameter of the mesh. This provides a more 7 uniform structure. More specifically, referring to 8 9 figure 9d the mesh has a transverse member 84 10 extending along its axis of symmetry, a transverse member 86 bisecting the axis of symmetry, and four 11 further transverse members 88 extending from the 12 13 axis of symmetry to the perimeter of the mesh 90. 14 In addition to the pores provided by the combination 15 16 of filaments 26 which form the strands 22, pores can 17 be provided by rings of polypropylene positioned at the intersection of the circumferential and 18 transverse members. 19 20 21 Alternatively the pores may be formed by the spacing of the transverse members, such that pores of a size 22 23 50-200µm suitable for enabling tissue ingrowth exist between the transverse members. 24 25 To secure the mesh to a suitable location in the 26 27 body a number of methods can be used. The tackiness of the absorbable coating may hold the mesh suitably 28 until it is secured by tissue ingrowth. 29 30 Alternatively the surgical implant can have capsules 31 32 100 (not shown) of biocompatible glue for securing

44

1 the mesh 20 in place. In this example, six capsules 100 comprising spheres having a diameter of 4 mm and . 2 made from a rapidly absorbable material are provided 3 around the perimeter of the mesh 20. On placement 4 5 in the body, the capsules 100 dissolve and release a biocompatible glue contained within to secure the 6 7 mesh 20 in place. . 8 9 Referring to figure 10, a tool 200 for inserting one 10 of the meshes described (usually without an 11 absorbable coating 32) comprises two channels 202, 12 204. The channels 202, 204 are semi-circular in 13 cross-section and the channel 202 has a diameter 14 slightly smaller than the diameter of channel 204. 15 The channels are interconnected such that the 16 channel 202 can be rotated inside the channel 204. 17 In use, the mesh 20 is rolled up and placed in the space formed by the channels 202,204 in a first 18 19 position in which the open sides of the channels face one another to form a housing or tube. After 20 21 insertion into the desired location, channel 204 is 22 rotated inside the channel 202 to release the mesh 23 20. 24 Referring to figure 11, an alternative tool 210 for 25 inserting one of the meshes described comprises an 26 elongate housing 212 around which the mesh is rolled 27 28 and secured. The tool 210 has means for trapping an 29 edge of the mesh 20 to secure it on the housing of 30 the tool 212, such as a groove 214. In use, once the mesh 20 has been rolled around the housing of 31 3.2 the tool 210 it may be secured by a removable clip

45

1 or other such retaining means (not shown). After 2 insertion of the tool 210 into the desired location, 3 the mesh 20 is released and the tool 210 is rotated to unfurl the mesh 20. 4 5 6 Referring to figure 12, another alternative tool 220 7 for inserting one of the meshes described above in 8 the body comprises two arms 222 pivotally 9 interconnected by a pivot 224. One end of each arm 10 226 has means for being releasably attached to the mesh 20. The other end of each arm 228 is operable 11 to move the ends that may be attached to the mesh 20 12 13 toward or away from one another by rotation around the pivot 224. When the ends of the arms 226,228 to 14 15 which the mesh 20 can be attached are moved to a 16 position in which they are close to one another, the tool 220 is substantially elongate. Furthermore, 17 the mesh 20 is radially confined by the arms. Once 18 the mesh 20 has been inserted into position, the 19 20 arms 226,228 can be manipulated to move the ends to which the mesh 20 can be attached apart to unfurl 21 22 the mesh 20 in its intended position. 23 Referring to figure 13, another tool 230 for 24 25 inserting one of the meshes described above in its 26 desired location comprises an elongate housing 232 27 having a number of pairs of holes 234 spaced along its length (in this example three pairs) at the 28 distal end of the tool 230. The housing 232 is 29 hollow and contains a number (in this case three) of 30 pairs of wires 236, made from polypropylene for 31 example, which extend along the length of the 32

1

46

housing 232 and out through the pairs of holes 234.

2 The wires 236 also protrude from the proximal end of the housing such that they can be pushed and pulled 3 4 in and out of the housing 232. The ends of the 5 wires 236 that protrude from the holes 234 have 6 means for releasably attaching to points near the 7 perimeter of the mesh 20. 8 9 In use, the wires 236 are attached to the mesh 20 10 and retracted by pulling them back through the housing 30 such that the mesh 20 is radially 11 12 confined close to the housing 232. Once the tool 13 230 has been inserted into the intended position, the wires 236 are pushed into the housing 232 and 14 15 consequently out through the holes 234 to urge the 16 mesh 20 away from the housing 232. Thus, the mesh 17 20 can be unfurled in its desired location in the 18 body. 19 20 Referring once again to figure 5 in order to repair 21 a urethracoele prolapse i.e. a defect in the area A 22 of figure 5, the surgeon first locates the defect by examining the patient in the conventional manner. 23 24 The extent of the defect can then be ascertained 25 and, if necessary, a suitable template used to 26 estimate the shape and dimensions of a preferred 27 surgical implant to repair the defect. A suitably 28 shaped surgical implant can then be selected. 29 30 The meshes described above are, in this example, supplied in a single size. After examination of the 31 32 patient and estimation of the desired dimensions of

47

the preferred mesh, the surgeon cuts the mesh to the 1 2 preferred size. 3 4 Where the mesh comprises a circumferential member 70 5 the cut made in the mesh is through the transverse 6 members 76 just outward of the circumferential 7 member 70 corresponding most closely with the 8 preferred size of mesh. Thus, regardless of the ٠9 size to which the mesh is to be cut, a 10 circumferential member 70 defines the perimeter of 11 the mesh, and the perimeter of the mesh is 12 substantially smooth. This desirably reduces the 13 likelihood of infection or edge erosion once the 14 mesh is inserted in the body. 15 16 The surgeon then attaches the mesh to or inserts the 17 mesh with one of the insertion tools described 18 herein. For example, the mesh is rolled up and 19 placed within the insertion tool 200 illustrated in figure 10, wrapped around the insertion tool 210 20 21 illustrated in figure 11, attached to the ends of 22 the arms 222 of the insertion tool 220 illustrated 23 in figure 12 or attached to the ends of the wires 24 236 of the insertion tool 230 illustrated in figure 25 13. 26 27 An incision 9 is then made in the vaginal wall 12 at 28 the forward most portion of the vaginal wall 12 29 adjacent the opening of the vaginal cavity. A 30 cutting implement (not illustrated), such as 31 scissors or a specialised cutting tool, is/are then 32 inserted through the incision 9 into the area A,

48

i.e. the lower portion of the anterior vaginal wall 1 2 12. Using the cutting implement, a cut is made in the area A parallel with the surface of the vaginal 3 In other words, a space is opened up in 4 the vaginal wall 12 over the area of the defect in 5 the vaginal wall 12. The cutting implement is then 6 withdrawn and the mesh 20 is inserted in the space ' 7 8 defined by the cut. 9 10 Where the insertion tool 200 illustrated in figure 10 is used, the tool 200 is inserted into the area A 11 and the channel 202 rotated to a position within the 12 channel 204 to release the mesh 20. The insertion 13 14 tool 200 can then be retracted and the mesh unfurls due to its inherent resilience or flat memory. 15 Should it be required to help the mesh 20 to unfurl, 16 17 or slightly re-position the mesh 20 defect 2, an elongate tool (not shown) may be inserted through 18 the incision 9 or needles may be introduced directly 19 20 through the vaginal wall 12 to manipulate the mesh 20. This procedure can be viewed laproscopically 21 through the incision 9 if desired. 22 23 Where the insertion tool 210 illustrated in figure 24 11 is used, it is desirable for the insertion tool 25 210 to be inserted to one side of the space defined 26 by the cut. The mesh 20 is then released and a 27 needle inserted through the vaginal wall to hold the 28 released edge of the mesh 20 in position. The tool 29 210 is then rolled across the space defined by the 30 cut in an arc having a centre of rotation around the 31 incision 9. Thus, the mesh 20 is unfurled, but no 32

1	significant movement is required around the incision
2	9.
3	
4	Where the insertion tool 220 illustrated in figure
5	12 is used, the insertion tool 220 is simply
6	inserted through the incision 9 and opened to expand
7	the mesh 20 into its desired location. The mesh 20
8	is released from the insertion tool 220 which can
9	then be closed and withdrawn through the incision 9.
10	
11	Finally, where the insertion tool 250 illustrated in
12	Figure 13 is used, the mesh 20 is retracted by
13	withdrawing the wires 236 through their holes 234
14	and the mesh is inserted through the incision 9.
15	Once the insertion tool 230 has been inserted into
16	its desired location, the wires 236 are urged
17	forward and out through the holes 234 to expand the
18	mesh in its intended position. The wires 236 can
19	then be released from the mesh 20, withdrawn into
20	the housing 232 and the tool 230 withdrawn through
21	the incision 9.
22	
23	Once the mesh 20 is in place, the incision may be
24	closed.
25	
26	However, it can be desirable to secure the 20 in
27	place, rather than rely on the mesh 20 remaining in
28	its desired location of its own accord. In one
29	example, sutures are therefore be placed either
30	laproscopically through the incision 9 or directly
31	through the vaginal wall 12 to hold the mesh 20 in
32	place. In another example, glue capsules provided

50

1 on the mesh 20 dissolve to secure the mesh 20 to the 2 tissue surrounding the space defined by the cut, or such capsules may be punctured by needles inserted 3 directly through the vaginal wall 12. 4 5 6 The surgical implant described herein is 7 advantageous over the meshes of the prior art in 8 several ways. 9 10 In particular the mesh of the present invention includes smoother edges, the polyester material of 11 12 the present invention being softer than 13 polypropylene. Further, the filaments of the 14 present invention are narrower in diameter enabling 15 them to be more pliable than the strands of the 16 meshes of the prior art. This causes the edge or 17 edges of the mesh of the present invention to have fewer jagged edges and thus be smoother that the 18 19 edges of meshes or the prior art. 20 In addition encasement of the mesh in an absorbable 21 22 coating further protects the tissue both during 23 placement and for a period of time after placement of the surgical implant. 24 25 26 Dual Phase Technology™ such as encasement in an 27 absorbable coating or as otherwise discussed herein 28 provides the implant with good handling characteristics, further it enables the implant to 29 30 be more easily cut. As described above an 31 absorbable coating may protect the tissues around 32 where the implant is to be located both during

1	placement and for a period of time following
2	placement of the implant in the tissue.
3	
4	Dual Phase Technology TM may also provide the implant
5	with memory. This memory may allow the implant to
6	be more easily placed flat on the tissue. Further
7	the dual phase technology such as an absorbable
8	coating may provide the implant with mild adhesive
9	properties or tackiness which would aid both the
10	locating and securing of the implant in the tissue.
11	
12	The surgical implant described herein thus allows
13	tension free repair of hernias, particular vaginal
14	prolopse, with minimum pain. This allows the
15	procedure to be performed under local anaesthetic in
16	an out patient or office setting.
17	
18	Whilst the above embodiments of the invention have
19	been described with reference to vaginal prolapse,
20	the mesh and surgical tools may equally be used to
21	repair any bodily hernia. Furthermore, whilst the
22	above procedure has been described in relation to a
23	urethrocoele prolapse, prolapse in other parts of
24	the vaginal wall 12 can be treated through incisions
25	elsewhere in the vaginal wall, or other bodily
26	hernias through suitable incisions in the
27	appropriate tissue.

52

1 Claims

2

A surgial implant suitable for treatment of

4 hernias, the implant comprising a mesh comprising

5 strands having a maximum residual mass density of

 $6 50g/m^2$.

7

8 2. An implant as claimed in claim 1 wherein the

9 mesh has a maximum residual mass density of less

10 than $30g/m^2$.

11

12 3. A surgical implant as claimed in claims 1 or 2

wherein the mesh comprises strands and includes

14 major spaces and pores, the spaces existing between

15 the strands and pores formed within the strands.

16

17 4. An implant as claimed in any preceding claim

wherein strands are formed from at least two

19 filaments.

20

21 5. A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding

22 claim wherein the strands are spaced apart to form

23 major spaces of 1 to 10 mm.

24

25 6. A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding

26 claim wherein the strands have a diameter of less

27 than 600µm.

28

29 7. A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding

30 claim wherein the strands are arranged to form a

31 warp knit diamond or hexagonal net mesh.

PCT/GB02/01234 WO 02/078568

53 A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding 1 claim wherein the strands are arranged to form a net 2 mesh which has isotropic or near isotropic tensile 3 strength and elasticity. 4 5 A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding 9. 6 claim wherein the filaments have a diameter of 7 between 0.02 to 0.15 mm. 8 9 A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding 10 claim wherein the filament of the mesh is of a 11 diameter 0.05 to 0.1 mm. 12 13 A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding 14 claim wherein a monofilament or at least two 15 filaments are interwoven/knitted such that the 16 strands of the mesh comprise pores. 17 18 12. A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding 19 claim wherein the pores in the strands are of 20 between 50 to 200 µm in diameter. 21 A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding claim further comprising rings of material

22

23

24

comprising pores of between 50 to 200 µm adhered to 25

on the strands of the mesh to provide pores. 26

27

A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding 28

claim wherein the pores in the strands are of 29

between 50 to 75 m in diameter. 30

54

1 15. A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding

2 claim wherein the filaments of the mesh comprise a

plastics material.

4

5 16. A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding

6 claim wherein the filaments of the mesh comprise a

7 synthetic material.

8

9 17. A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding

10 claim wherein the filaments of the mesh comprise an

11 absorbable material.

12

13 18. A surgical implant as claimed in any of claims

14 1 to 16 wherein the filaments of the mesh comprise.

polypropylene.

16

17 19. A surgical implant as claimed in any of claims

18 1 to 16 wherein the filaments of the mesh comprise

19 polyester.

20

21 20. A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding

22 claim wherein the implant has an absorbable coating

which degrades within 48 hours.

24

25 21. A surgical implant as claimed in claim 20

26 wherein the absorbable coating encapsulates the mesh

of the surgical implant.

28

29 22. A surgical implant as claimed in claim 20

30 wherein the absorbable coating is applied to at

31 least one face of the mesh.

PCT/GB02/01234 WO 02/078568

55

A surgical implant as claimed in claims 20 to 1 22 wherein the absorbable coating comprises any 2 suitable soluble and biocompatible material. 3 4 A surgical implant as claimed in claims 20 to 5 23 wherein the absorbable coating is a soluble 6 hydrogel such as gelatin. 7 8 A surgical implant as claimed in claims 20 to 9 23 wherein the absorbable coating is a starch or 10 cellulose based gel. 11 12 A surgical implant as claimed in claims 20 to 13 23 wherein the absorbable coating is an alginate. 14 15 A surgical implant as claimed in claims 20 to 16 26 wherein the coating is of a thickness greater 17 than that of the mesh. 18 19 28. A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding 20 claim comprising a backing strip wherein the backing 21 strip is releasably attachable to the mesh. 22 23 A surgical implant as claimed in claim 28 24 wherein the backing strip is formed from plastics. 25 26 A surgical implant as claimed in claims 28 or 27

29 wherein the surgical implant is releasably 28

attachable to the backing strip by adhesive. 29

56 31. A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding 1 2 claim wherein the strands of the mesh are comprised 3 of bicomponent microfibres. 4 5 32. A surgical implant as claimed in claim 31 6 wherein the bicomponent microfibres comprise a core 7 and surface material. 8 9 33. A surgical implant as claimed in claim 32 10 wherein the surface material is capable of being 11 absorbed by the body in a period of less than 48 12 hours. 13 14 A surgical implant as claimed in claims 32 or 15 33 wherein the core material is capable of remaining 16 in the body for a period of time sufficient to enable tissue ingrowth. 17 18 A surgical implant as claimed in claim 32 19

20 wherein the surface material is polylactic acid and the core material is polypropylene. 21

22

23 36. A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding ' 24 claim wherein the surgical implant comprises 25 material that has memory.

26

30

27 37. A surgical implant as claimed in claim 36 wherein the surgical implant has memory which urges 28 the surgical implant to adopt a flat conformation. 29

PCT/GB02/01234 WO 02/078568

57

38. A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding 1 claim wherein the implant has a generally curved 2 perimeter. 3 4 39. A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding 5 6 claim wherein the surgical implant is of width between 1 cm to 10 cm and of length between 1 cm to 7 8 10 cm. 9 10 A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the implant is any one of round, 11 circular, oval, ovoid eliptical or truncated 12 eliptical or some similar shape. 13 14 A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding 15 claim wherein the mesh can be cut to any desired 16 17 shape. 18. 42. A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding 19 20 claim wherein the mesh has at least one circumferential member which extends, in use, along 21 at least part of the perimeter of the implant to 22 provide a substantially smooth edge. 23 24 43. A surgical implant as claimed in claim 42 25 wherein at least part of the perimeter of the 26 implant is defined by the circumferential member. 27 28 A surgical implant as claimed in claims 42 or 29 43 wherein at least 50% of the perimeter of the 30

implant is defined by the circumferential member(s). 31

PCT/GB02/01234 WO 02/078568

58

45. A surgical implant as claimed in claims 42 to 1 44 wherein at least 80% of the perimeter of the 2 implant is defined by the circumferential member(s). 3 4 46. A surgical implant as claimed in claims 42 to 5 45 wherein 100% of the perimeter of the implant is 6 defined by the circumferential member(s). 7 8 A surgical implant as claimed in claims 42 to 9 46 wherein the perimeter of the mesh is defined, in 10 use, by one circumferential member. 11 12 48. A surgical implant as claimed in claims 42 to 13 47 wherein the mesh has a plurality of 14 circumferential members arranged at different radial 15 16 locations. 17 49. A surgical implant as claimed in claim 48 18 wherein the circumferential members are arranged to 19 join with one another in order to form an integral 20 mesh. 21 22 50. A surgical implant as claimed in claim 42 to 49 23 wherein the mesh comprises transverse members which 24 extend across the circumferential members joining 25 the circumferential members. 26 27 A surgical implant as claimed in claim 50 28 wherein the transverse members extend radially from 29

a central point to the perimeter of the implant.

1	52. A surgical implant as claimed in claim 50 or 51
2	wherein the transverse members extend toward the
3	perimeter of the implant.
4	·
5	53. A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding
6	claim wherein the mesh can be glued in place using a
7	biocompatible glue.
8	
9	54. A surgical implant as claimed in any preceding
10	claim comprising at least one capsule containing
11	biocompatable glue for securing the implant in
12	place.
13	
14	55. A surgical implant as claimed in claim 54
15	comprising four capsules containing glue provided
16	around the perimeter of the surgical implant.
17	
18	56. A surgical implant as claimed in claims 54 or
19	55 wherein the capsules comprise hollow thin walled
20	spheres of around 3 to 5 mm diameter including
21	gelatin.
22	
23	57. A surgical implant as claimed in claims 54 to
24	56 wherein the glue is a cyanoacrylate glue.
25	
26	58. A minimally invasive method of treating
27	uterovaginal prolapse, the method comprising the
28	steps;
29	·
30	making a 1-2cm length incision in the vaginal
31	wall close to the opening of the vaginal cavity
32	and,

1	making a subcutaneous cut, through the
2	incision, over and surrounding the area of the
3	prolapse, which cut is substantially parallel
4	to the vaginal wall; and
5	
6	inserting a mesh according to the present
7	invention, through the incision, into the spac
8	defined by the cut.
9	·
10	59. A method of treating uterovaginal prolapse as
11	claimed in claim 58 wherein the incision is at the
12	posterior extremity of the prolapse sac of the
13	vaginal cavity.
14	
15	60. A method of treating uterovaginal prolapse as
16	claimed in claim 58 wherein the incision is at the
17 .	anterior extremity of the prolapse sac of the
18	vaginal cavity.
19	
20	61. A surgical tool for delivering a surgical
21	implant as described in claims 1 to 57
22	subcutaneously through an incision, the tool being
23	adapted to radially confine the surgical implant
24	during delivery and being operable to release the
25	mesh in its intended position.
26	
27	62. A surgical tool as claimed in claim 61
28	comprising a housing and unfurling means the housing
29	and unfurling means insertable through an incision
30	in the patient, the housing and unfurling means
31	adapted to accommodate a rolled up mesh and
32	separable to release the mesh, the unfurling means

61

capable of unfurling the rolled up mesh without any 1 2 significant movement around the area of the incision 3 4 63. A surgical tool as claimed in claim 61 or 62 5 comprising two or more parts, the parts movable such . 6 that in a first position they house the mesh or 7 surgical implant and, in a second position the mesh 8 or surgical implant is released. More preferably 9 the tool comprises two semi-circular channels, an

11 for fitting inside an outer channel.

10

12

14

13 64. Use of an implant as claimed in any of claims 1

to 57 in the treatment of inguinal hernia,

inner channel having an external diameter suitable

15 incisional hernia or uterovaginal prolapse.

1/10




Fig. 1




Fig. 2

PCT/GB02/01234

2/10




Fig. 3





Fig. 4



SECTION A-A

Fig. 5